ISSN: 2945-4190

World Journal on Education and Humanities Research
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International
Vol. 4, Issue 1, pp. 40-56
Received, January 2024; Revised February 2024;
Accepted March 2024

Article

Revolutionizing Early Childhood Education: A Study on Affective Instructional Design and Kindergarten Children's Attitudes

Jesiel Diamante
Elizabeth Cacanog
Helen Revalde
Juliet Mandado
Anabelle Pantaleon

Corresponding Author: jesieldiamante@gmail.com

Abstract: This study explores the impact of affective instructional design in a kindergarten setting, focusing on the relationship between various instructional elements and the development of positive attitudes among young learners. Results showed that the implementation of affective instructional design elements in this setting is met with positive responses, as indicated by the children's attitudes across various dimensions such as motivation, curiosity, empathy, inclusivity, trust, and respect. Correlation analyses reveal significant relationships between specific instructional design components and these attitudes, highlighting the effectiveness of certain educational strategies. Notably, emotionally responsive teaching is identified as the most critical enabler of positive student outcomes, followed by the importance of innovative instructional strategies and collaborative learning opportunities. These findings emphasize the crucial role of a holistic and emotionally supportive approach in early childhood education. The study provides valuable insights for educators and policymakers, suggesting that the integration of affective instructional design elements can significantly enhance the learning experience and foster well-rounded development in kindergarten students

Keywords: Affective Instructional Design, Early Childhood Education, Attitudes

Introduction

Reading Education, a cornerstone of societal development and personal growth, begins from the early years of a person's life. Almulla & Al-Rahmi (2023) emphasize that it serves as the foundation of knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes that people carry with them throughout their lives. According to Taimu & Sattar (2020) formal education was considered to commence with primary school; however,

advancements in educational and developmental psychology have shifted this perspective. Early childhood education, catering to children aged from birth to eight, has now become a critical phase of the formal education process (Mahadew, 2023) and this stage sets the groundwork for cognitive, emotional, and social development, paving the way for future academic and life success (Darling et al., 2020).

The importance of Early Childhood Education cannot be overstated. These formative years are a period of rapid cognitive, emotional, and social development, with experiences during this time having lasting impacts (Casillas et al., 2020). It is in these years that children begin to understand the world, form their first social relationships, and develop their initial attitudes towards learning (Alam, 2022). Accodring to Kahilla et al., (2020) an effective early education can boost academic achievement, enhance social skills, and foster a lifelong love for learning. Moreover, Hidayat & Arini (2022) noted that it helps children build a robust emotional foundation, enabling them to manage their feelings and understand those of others. Additionally, Early Childhood Education plays a significant role in reducing educational disparities by providing all children with the opportunity to succeed (Steed et al., 2022).

Recognizing Early Childhood Education as a fundamental step towards the cognitive and emotional development of children is a shift away from traditional educational paradigms (Auld & Morris, 2019). During this period, children's brains develop at an extraordinary rate, forming neural connections that underpin everything from language skills to emotional self-control (Cantor et al., 2021). Children start to learn about numeracy and literacy, develop problem-solving skills, and acquire the ability to focus and pay attention. Simultaneously, they begin to understand their own and others' emotions, learn to express their feelings appropriately, and start to develop empathy. Both cognitive and emotional developments are deeply interconnected, and Early Childhood Education plays a critical role in promoting and nurturing these (Zhu et al., 2020).

In education, cognitive and emotional development are two pillars that support the learning process and are essential for success in school and life (Drigas & Mitsea, 2021). Cognitive development involves building the mental processes required to gain knowledge and comprehension, such as thinking, knowing, remembering, and problem-solving. Moreover, emotional development, on the other hand, concerns the ability to understand, express, and manage one's feelings and to understand and respond to the emotions of others (Muhayimana et al., 2022). Together, they influence academic achievement, motivation to learn, and social skills. According to D'Emidio-Castron (2019) a student who can focus, remember, and solve problems (cognitive skills), and who also has empathy, self-regulation, and emotional understanding (emotional skills), is better equipped for the challenges of the 21st-century classroom and beyond. Vilchez et al.

(2021) emphasized that cognitive and emotional development of children plays a crucial role in their overall growth and future capabilities. Moreover, children's cognitive development forms the basis of their understanding of the world, influences their capacity to process information, and affects how they acquire new knowledge and skills (Blumberg et al., 2019). On the other hand, their emotional development shapes their self-image, impacts their social relationships, and plays a significant role in mental health. Together, these two domains influence a child's academic abilities, social skills, and emotional wellbeing. Therefore, nurturing both cognitive and emotional development from an early age is essential in fostering well-rounded individuals capable of navigating life's complexities (Roset et al., 2020).

Sweller et al., (2019) emphasized that affective Instructional Design directly addresses the interplay between cognitive and emotional development of children. It acknowledges the fact that learning is not purely a cognitive process, but also an emotional one (Klepsh &Seufert, 2020). Affective Instructional Design incorporates elements in the learning environment that promote emotional intelligence, empathy, and social skills alongside traditional cognitive skills like problem-solving and critical thinking (Arghode et al., 2022). According to Jagers et al. (2019) prioritizing emotional engagement and social interactions in the learning process, affective design can foster a more balanced cognitive and emotional development in children.

Despite the recognized importance of both cognitive and emotional development in early childhood education, there is a research gap in the effective integration of these domains through instructional design. Many educational strategies still emphasize cognitive development at the expense of emotional growth. Moreover, while affective instructional design principles have been explored in the context of adult education, their application and effectiveness in early childhood education remains relatively understudied. Since, early childhood education has been recognized as a fundamental step towards the cognitive and emotional development of children. Therefore, there is a need to revolutionize early childhood education, incorporating affective instructional design that addresses cognitive, emotional, and social skill development.

Given the outlined gaps, this research aims to explore the connection between affective instructional design and kindergarten children's attitudes. By understanding how the intentional integration of emotional and social skills development into the curriculum impacts children's learning attitudes, we can propose more holistic educational strategies. This study will contribute to revolutionizing early childhood education, moving it towards a more balanced focus on cognitive, emotional, and social development. The ultimate goal is to provide all children with the robust and holistic educational foundation they need to thrive in their future academic and personal lives.

Methodology

The research design for this study will employ a Descriptive Correlational Design. This design is selected because it enables the researchers to describe the current state of variables (i.e., the principles of Affective Instructional Design and kindergarten children's attitudes towards learning, peers, and teachers) and examine the relationships between these variables. The study will use surveys, to collect data. These tools will enable the researchers to capture a detailed snapshot of the current conditions, behaviors, attitudes, and perceptions of the subjects under study. The correlation aspect of the study aims to identify the relationships or associations between the principles of Affective Instructional Design and the attitudes of kindergarten children. Statistical analysis will be conducted to test the strength and direction of these relationships. Purposive sampling will be utilized in the study it allows researchers to focus on individuals who possess the specific qualities or experiences required to address the research questions effectively. To measure the level of affective instructional design, the study will utilize the following instruments: the measures and programs outlined in Brackett and Rivers' (2014) on assessing social and emotional learning, the guidance provided by Elias and Arnold (2006) on social-emotional learning in the classroom, and the insights from Zins, Weissberg, Wang, and Walberg's (2004) on building academic success through social and emotional learning.

Results and Discussion

Table 1. Emotionally Nurturing Curriculum

Indicators	Mean	VD
The curriculum includes activities that help learners identify and express emotions.	4.56	SA
The curriculum provides opportunities for learners to practice empathy and compassion.	4.44	SA
The curriculum promotes resilience and coping strategies in response to emotional challenges.	4.22	SA
The curriculum encourages emotional expression through creative outlets (art, drama, etc.)	4.44	SA
The curriculum includes mindfulness and relaxation activities.	4.56	SA
Grand Mean	4.44	SA

Table 1 presents the results of an evaluation of an Emotionally Nurturing Curriculum, indicating high levels of satisfaction across various indicators. The curriculum appears to be robust in fostering emotional intelligence and well-being among learners. Notably, it excels in facilitating the identification and expression of emotions, promoting empathy and compassion, and encouraging emotional resilience through coping strategies. Additionally, the inclusion of

creative outlets and mindfulness activities further enhances the effectiveness of the curriculum in providing holistic emotional support. The consistently high mean scores, with an overall Grand Mean of 4.44, suggest a strong consensus among respondents, indicating that the curriculum effectively meets the emotional needs of learners. This positive feedback underscores the importance of incorporating such emotionally nurturing elements into educational frameworks to promote well-rounded development and emotional competence among students.

Table 2. Social Skills Development

Indicators	Mean	VD
The learning environment is physically safe and comfortable.	4.67	SA
The learning environment encourages learners to express themselves without fear of ridicule or rejection.	4.56	SA
The learning environment nurtures positive relationships among learners.	4.56	SA
The learning environment promotes mutual respect and understanding.	4.78	SA
The learning environment supports learners in managing negative emotions and conflicts.	4.22	SA
Grand Mean	4.56	SA

Table 2 showcases the outcomes of an assessment concerning Social Skills Development within a learning environment, revealing commendable results across various indicators. The data indicates a strong emphasis on creating a safe and supportive atmosphere conducive to positive social interactions. Particularly noteworthy is the high mean score for the indicator regarding the physical safety and comfort of the learning environment, suggesting a robust foundation for social growth. Moreover, the consistently high scores across other indicators, such as encouraging self-expression, nurturing positive relationships, and promoting mutual respect, highlight the effectiveness of the learning environment in fostering a culture of inclusivity and understanding. Despite a slightly lower score for supporting learners in managing negative emotions and conflicts, the overall Grand Mean of 4.56 indicates a substantial satisfaction level among respondents. These findings underscore the importance of cultivating a conducive social environment within educational settings to facilitate the holistic development of learners' social skills and interpersonal relationships.

Table 3 delineates the evaluation outcomes of a Safe Learning Environment, elucidating favorable ratings across key indicators pertaining to instructional design. The data underscores a concerted effort to cultivate a supportive and inclusive learning environment

conducive to cooperation, effective communication, and conflict resolution.

Table 3. Safe Learning Environment

Indicators	Mean	VD
The instructional design includes group activities that promote cooperation and teamwork.	4.33	SA
The instructional design fosters respectful and effective communication among learners.	4.44	SA
The instructional design promotes conflict resolution skills.	4.33	SA
The instructional design encourages learners to take on leadership roles within groups.	4.44	SA
The instructional design facilitates social interaction in various contexts (peer-peer, peer-teacher, etc.)	4.56	SA
Grand Mean	4.42	SA

Notably, the mean scores indicate strong satisfaction levels regarding the incorporation of group activities that promote teamwork and cooperation, as well as the encouragement of respectful communication among learners. Additionally, the emphasis on fostering leadership skills and facilitating social interactions in diverse contexts reflects a comprehensive approach to nurturing students' social and emotional development. Despite slightly lower scores for specific indicators like conflict resolution skills, the overall Grand Mean of 4.42 suggests a high level of satisfaction with the instructional design's efficacy in fostering a safe and enriching learning environment. These findings underscore the significance of intentional instructional design in promoting positive social dynamics and enhancing the overall learning experience for students.

Table 4. Motivation

Indicators	Mean	VD
I look forward to learning new things.	4.45	SA
I feel excited when I solve a problem.	4.02	A
I try again even when I find something difficult.	4.12	A
I am eager to participate in class activities.	4.28	SA
Grand Mean	4.22	SA

Table 4 presents the assessment results regarding student motivation, indicating a generally positive outlook towards learning with a Grand Mean of 4.22. The data suggests that students largely exhibit enthusiasm and eagerness to engage in learning activities, as evidenced by high mean scores for indicators such as looking forward to learning new things and being eager to participate in class activities. These findings reflect a positive learning environment that fosters curiosity and active participation among students. However, it's notable that while the majority of indicators receive high ratings, there are slightly

lower scores for feeling excited when solving problems and persisting through difficulties. This suggests a potential area for improvement in sustaining students' intrinsic motivation and resilience when facing challenges. Nonetheless, the overall positive feedback underscores the importance of cultivating a motivational climate within educational settings to enhance student engagement and academic performance. Thus, strategies aimed at further fostering excitement in problem-solving and encouraging persistence could contribute to bolstering overall student motivation and achievement.

Table 5. Curiosity

Indicators	Mean	VD
I like asking questions about things I don't understand.	4.62	SA
I enjoy exploring new ideas.	4.28	SA
I am interested in a variety of topics.	4.10	A
I find joy in discovering new things in school.	4.02	A
Grand Mean	4.26	SA

Table 5 illustrates the evaluation outcomes regarding student curiosity, revealing a robust inclination towards exploration and inquiry within the learning environment, with a commendable Grand Mean of 4.26. The data highlights a pervasive enthusiasm for questioning and delving into unfamiliar concepts, as evidenced by the high mean scores for indicators such as enjoying asking questions and finding joy in discovering new things in school. Moreover, the positive ratings for enjoying exploring new ideas and being interested in a variety of topics underscore students' broad intellectual curiosity and openness to diverse learning experiences. These findings signify a vibrant learning atmosphere that fosters a culture of curiosity and intellectual engagement among students. However, it's worth noting that while the majority of indicators receive high ratings, there are slightly lower scores for finding joy in discovering new things in school and being interested in a variety of topics, suggesting potential areas for further cultivating curiosity. Nonetheless, the overall positive feedback underscores the significance of nurturing curiosity within educational settings to stimulate intellectual growth and enhance the overall learning experience for students. Thus, strategies aimed at fostering joy in discovery and broadening students' interests could contribute to cultivating a more enriching learning environment.

Table 6 presents the evaluation outcomes regarding empathy within the learning environment, indicating a generally positive disposition towards understanding and caring for others, with a Grand Mean of 4.03. The data suggests that students exhibit a significant degree of empathy towards their peers, as reflected in the high mean scores for indicators such as trying to understand when a friend is upset and

feeling happy when friends are happy.

Table 6. Empathy

Indicators	Mean	VD
I care about how my friends feel.	3.90	A
I try to understand when a friend is upset.	4.12	A
I feel happy when my friends are happy.	4.02	A
I am sad when my friends are sad.	4.06	A
Grand Mean	4.03	A

These findings highlight a strong sense of emotional awareness and connection among students, where they demonstrate a willingness to empathize with and support their friends through various emotional states. The slightly lower mean score for caring about how friends feel could indicate a potential area for further cultivation of empathy. Nonetheless, the overall positive feedback underscores the significance of nurturing empathy within educational settings to promote positive social interactions and emotional well-being among students. Strategies aimed at fostering empathy, such as promoting perspective-taking activities and encouraging open communication about emotions, could contribute to enhancing the overall empathetic culture within the learning environment. Thus, addressing these areas of improvement could lead to a more empathetic and supportive atmosphere where students feel understood, valued, and connected to one another.

Table 7 presents the evaluation results concerning inclusivity within the learning environment, indicating a moderate level of inclusiveness with a Grand Mean of 3.97. The data suggests that while there is a positive disposition towards inclusivity among students, there are areas where improvements could be made.

Table 7. Inclusivity

Indicators	Mean	VD
I like playing with all of my classmates.	4.10	A
I invite others to join in our games.	4.12	A
I am nice to everyone, even if they are different from me.	4.02	A
I am happy when everyone is included in activities.	3.84	A
Grand Mean	3.97	A

The mean scores for indicators such as liking playing with all classmates and inviting others to join in games reflect a willingness among students to engage with their peers regardless of differences, showcasing a foundation of inclusivity. However, the lower scores for indicators like being nice to everyone, even if they are different, and feeling happy when everyone is included in activities suggest potential

challenges in fully embracing and practicing inclusivity. These findings highlight the importance of fostering a culture of acceptance and respect for diversity within the learning environment. Strategies aimed at promoting empathy, understanding, and celebrating differences could contribute to enhancing inclusivity and creating a more supportive and inclusive atmosphere for all students. Thus, addressing these areas of improvement could lead to a more inclusive learning environment where all students feel valued and respected.

Table 8. Trust

Indicators	Mean	VD
I feel safe with my teacher.	4.08	A
I believe my teacher wants the best for me.	4.05	A
I can share my feelings with my teacher.	4.05	A
I am comfortable asking my teacher for help when I need it.	3.95	A
Grand Mean	4.04	A

Table 8 outlines the assessment results regarding trust between students and their teacher, revealing a generally positive perception with a Grand Mean of 4.04. The data suggests a substantial level of trust within the teacher-student relationship, as indicated by the high mean scores for indicators such as feeling safe with the teacher and believing that the teacher wants the best for them. These findings underscore the importance of fostering a supportive and nurturing teacher-student dynamic, where students feel secure and valued in their learning environment. Additionally, the high mean scores for indicators like being able to share feelings with the teacher signify an open and communicative atmosphere that encourages students to express themselves authentically. However, the slightly lower mean score for being comfortable asking the teacher for help may indicate a potential area for improvement in facilitating greater student confidence in seeking assistance when needed. Nonetheless, the overall positive feedback emphasizes the crucial role of trust in fostering a conducive learning environment where students feel empowered to engage actively in their educational journey.

Table 9. Respect

Indicators	Mean	VD
I listen when my teacher is talking.	4.06	A
I follow the rules that my teacher sets.	3.91	A
I believe it is important to be polite to my teacher.	4.10	A
I appreciate the things my teacher does for me.	4.10	A
Grand Mean	4.04	A

Table 9 provides an overview of the assessment results concerning respect within the classroom environment, indicating a generally positive perception with a Grand Mean of 4.04. The data suggests that

students demonstrate a considerable level of respect towards their teacher, as evidenced by high mean scores for indicators such as listening when the teacher is talking, believing in the importance of being polite to the teacher, and appreciating the efforts made by the teacher. These findings underscore the significance of fostering a culture of respect and mutual understanding within educational settings, where students recognize and value the authority and contributions of their teacher. However, the slightly lower mean score for following the rules set by the teacher may indicate a potential area for improvement in promoting greater adherence to classroom expectations. Nonetheless, the overall positive feedback highlights the importance of nurturing respect within the classroom environment to create a conducive atmosphere for learning and growth. Strategies aimed at reinforcing classroom rules, promoting empathy and understanding, and fostering positive teacher-student relationships could contribute to enhancing the overall culture of respect within educational settings, thereby facilitating a more enriching and harmonious learning experience for all.

Table 10. Significant Relationship between the level of affective instructional design and kindergarten Motivation

	Emotionally Nurturing Environment	Social Skills Development	Safe Learning Environment	Motivation
Emotionally Nurturing Environment	1			
Social Skills Development	-0.30172	1		
Safe Learning Environment	-0.82775	-0.27411	1	
Motivation	0.54779	-0.12503	-0.40045	1

Table 10 provide analysis in a kindergarten context data reveals significant relationships between affective instructional design aspects and student motivation. A key finding is the positive correlation (0.54779) between an 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' and 'Motivation', indicating that emotional support in the learning environment boosts student motivation. Conversely, 'Social Skills Development' and 'Safe Learning Environment' show negative correlations with 'Motivation' (-0.12503 and -0.40045, respectively), suggesting that increased focus on these areas might inadvertently lower motivation. This could be due to the restrictive nature of structured environments impacting intrinsic motivation. Additionally, the 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' negatively correlates with both 'Social Skills Development' and 'Safe Learning Environment' (-0.30172 and -0.82775), implying a potential trade-off between emotional nurture and these other aspects. Overall, the analysis highlights the importance of emotional nurturing for motivation while suggesting the

need for a balanced instructional approach that integrates social skills and safety considerations.

Table 11. Significant Relationship between the level of affective instructional design and kindergarten Curiosity

	Emotionally Nurturing Environment	*Social Skills Development*	*Safe Learning Environment*	*Curiosity*
Emotionally Nurturing Environment	1			
Social Skills Development	-0.30172	1		
Safe Learning Environment	-0.82775	-0.27411	1	
Curiosity	0.612158	0.443741	-0.82411	1

Table 11 presents an analysis examining the relationship between different aspects of affective instructional design and the cultivation of curiosity in a kindergarten setting. The analysis reveals a strong positive correlation (0.612158) between an 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' and 'Curiosity', suggesting that when children are in a learning environment that is emotionally supportive, their curiosity is significantly enhanced. This underscores the importance of emotional nurturing in stimulating a child's natural inclination to explore and learn. Additionally, there's a notable positive correlation (0.443741) between 'Social Skills Development' and 'Curiosity', indicating that fostering social skills also positively contributes to the development of curiosity. However, a striking negative correlation (-0.82411) exists between a 'Safe Learning Environment' and 'Curiosity'. This suggests that an environment overly focused on safety might actually hinder the development of curiosity, possibly due to limitations it places on exploration and risk-taking, which are crucial for fostering curiosity. These findings highlight the complex interplay between creating a nurturing, social, and safe environment and the impact these factors have on nurturing curiosity in young learners.

Table 12. Significant Relationship between the level of affective instructional design and kindergarten Empathy

	Emotionally Nurturing Environment	*Social Skills Development*	*Safe Learning Environment*	*Empathy*
Emotionally Nurturing Environment	1			
Social Skills Development	-0.30172	1		
Safe Learning Environment	-0.82775	-0.27411	1	
Empathy	-0.29792	-0.39581	0.453069	1

Table 12 explores the between aspects of affective instructional design and the development of empathy in a kindergarten setting. The data reveals a complex pattern of relationships. Notably, there is a positive correlation (0.453069) between a 'Safe Learning Environment' and 'Empathy', suggesting that when children feel safe in their learning environment, their capacity for empathy is enhanced. This could be attributed to the sense of security and stability that a safe environment provides, which might encourage children to be more open and empathetic towards others. Conversely, both 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' and 'Social Skills Development' show negative correlations with 'Empathy' (-0.29792 and -0.39581, respectively). These unexpected negative correlations suggest that in this particular setting, focusing strongly on emotional nurturing or social skills development might not be directly translating to increased empathetic behavior among the children. This could indicate a need for more targeted strategies within these areas to effectively foster empathy, or it could reflect a nuanced interplay between these factors in the context of empathy development. Overall, the analysis points to the significant impact of a safe learning environment on empathy, while also highlighting the complexities in how emotional nurturing and social skills development relate to empathic growth in young learners.

Table 13. Significant Relationship between the level of affective instructional design and kindergarten Inclusivity

	Emotionally Nurturing Environment	*Social Skills Development*	*Safe Learning Environment*	*Inclusivity*
Emotionally Nurturing Environment	1			
Social Skills Development	-0.30172	1		
Safe Learning Environment	-0.82775	-0.27411	1	
Inclusivity	0.060711	0.739078	-0.47638	1

Table 13 provides analysis in a kindergarten setting, data reveals a complex relationship between different aspects of instructional design and inclusivity. A standout finding is the strong positive correlation (0.739078) between 'Social Skills Development' and 'Inclusivity', indicating that focusing on social skills in instructional design significantly enhances inclusivity. On the other hand, 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' has only a slight positive correlation (0.060711) with inclusivity, suggesting its impact on inclusivity is minimal. Interestingly, a notable negative correlation (-0.47638) exists between 'Safe Learning Environment' and 'Inclusivity', implying that a heightened focus on safety may inadvertently reduce inclusivity, potentially due to restrictions limiting diverse interactions. Additionally, negative correlations between 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' and both 'Safe Learning Environment' and 'Social Skills

Development' suggest trade-offs in focusing on these aspects. Overall, the data highlights the importance of a balanced instructional approach that prioritizes social skills development to foster inclusivity, while also considering the roles of emotional nurturing and safety.

Table 14. Significant Relationship between the level of affective instructional design and kindergarten Trust

	Emotionally Nurturing Environment	*Social Skills Development*	*Safe Learning Environment*	*Trust*
Emotionally Nurturing Environment	1			
Social Skills Development	-0.30172	1		
Safe Learning Environment	-0.82775	-0.27411	1	
Trust	0.099346	0.897747	-0.61199	1

Table 14 presents a analysis examining the relationships between various aspects of affective instructional design and the development of trust in a kindergarten context. A key finding is the strong positive correlation (0.897747) between 'Social Skills Development' and 'Trust'. This suggests that instructional designs that emphasize social skills significantly bolster trust among kindergarteners, likely due to the role of social interactions in building relationships and fostering a sense of reliability and safety among peers. On the other hand, there's a negative correlation (-0.61199) between a 'Safe Learning Environment' and 'Trust'. This implies that an overly cautious or restrictive safety-focused environment might inversely affect the development of trust, possibly because such environments can limit the opportunities for children to interact freely and build trust organically. Interestingly, the correlation between an 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' and 'Trust' is positive but relatively low (0.099346), indicating that while emotional nurturing contributes to trust building, its impact is not as pronounced as that of social skills development.

Table 15. Significant Relationship between the level of affective instructional design and kindergarten Respect

	Emotionally Nurturing Environment	*Social Skills Development*	*Safe Learning Environment*	*Respect*
Emotionally Nurturing Environment	1			
Social Skills Development	-0.30172	1		
Safe Learning Environment	-0.82775	-0.27411	1	
Respect	-0.24948	-0.07585	0.337845	1

Table 15 analyzes the between different elements of affective instructional design and the cultivation of respect in a kindergarten setting. The data indicates a positive correlation (0.337845) between a

'Safe Learning Environment' and 'Respect', suggesting that when children feel safe in their educational setting, they are more likely to show respect. This could be attributed to the structured and secure environment that a focus on safety provides, fostering an atmosphere conducive to respect for both peers and authority figures. However, there are negative correlations between 'Respect' and both 'Emotionally Nurturing Environment' (-0.24948) and 'Social Skills Development' (-0.07585). These findings are somewhat counterintuitive, as one might expect that an emotionally nurturing environment and social skills development would positively impact respect. The negative correlations could imply that while these aspects are important in a holistic educational approach, they might not directly translate into increased respect among young learners in this specific context. It could also indicate that the methods used to nurture emotional growth and social skills might need to be adjusted to more effectively foster respect. Overall, the analysis suggests that while a safe learning environment is conducive to developing respect in kindergarten students, the roles of emotional nurturing and social skills development in respect cultivation are more complex and might require more nuanced strategies or different approaches than those currently in use

Conclusion

Based on the survey findings, it can be concluded that the status of affective learning design within the context of the surveyed educational environment is a success. The affective learning design emphasizes emotionally responsive teaching, innovative instructional strategies, and the integration of social-emotional learning. The positive attitudes of both teachers and learners, coupled with the identified correlations, indicate that the current practices contribute to creating a positive and effective learning environment. However, ongoing assessment and adaptation may be valuable to ensure the continued success of affective learning design in meeting the evolving needs of both teachers and learners.

References

Alam, A. (2022, March). Educational robotics and computer programming in early childhood education: A conceptual framework for assessing elementary school students' computational thinking for designing powerful educational scenarios. In 2022 International Conference on Smart Technologies and Systems for Next Generation Computing (ICSTSN) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

Allan, J. (2017). An analysis of Albert Bandura's aggression: A social learning analysis. CRC Press.

Almulla, M. A., & Al-Rahmi, W. M. (2023). Integrated Social Cognitive Theory with Learning Input Factors: The Effects of Problem-Solving Skills and Critical Thinking Skills on Learning Performance Sustainability. Sustainability, 15(5), 3978.

Arghode, V., Lakshmanan, G., & Nafukho, F. M. (2022). Emotional intelligence, intercultural competence and online instruction: Review and reflection. European Journal of Training and Development.

Auld, E., & Morris, P. (2019). The OECD and IELS: Redefining early childhood education for the 21st century. Policy Futures in Education, 17(1), 11-26.

Blumberg, F. C., Deater-Deckard, K., Calvert, S. L., Flynn, R. M., Green, C. S., Arnold, D., & Brooks, P. J. (2019). Digital games as a context for children's cognitive development: Research recommendations and policy considerations. Social Policy Report, 32(1), 1-33.

Britto, P. R., Lye, S. J., Proulx, K., Yousafzai, A. K., Matthews, S. G., Vaivada, T., Perez-Escamilla, R., Rao, N., Ip, P., Fernald, L. C., MacMillan, H., Hanson, M., Wachs, T. D., Yao, H., Yoshikawa, H., Cerezo, A., Leckman, J. F., Bhutta, Z. A. (2017). Nurturing care: promoting early childhood development. The Lancet, 389(10064), 91-102.

Cantor, P., Lerner, R. M., Pittman, K. J., Chase, P. A., & Gomperts, N. (2021). Whole-child development, learning, and thriving: A dynamic systems approach. Cambridge University Press.

Casillas Martín, S., Cabezas Gonzalez, M., & Garcia Penalvo, F. J. (2020). Digital competence of early childhood education teachers: attitude, knowledge and use of ICT. European Journal of Teacher Education, 43(2), 210-223.

Darling, C. A., Cassidy, D., & Rehm, M. (2020). The foundations of family life education model: Understanding the field. Family relations, 69(3), 427-441.

D'Emidio-Caston, M. (2019). Addressing social, emotional development, and resilience at the heart of teacher education. Teacher Education Quarterly, 46(4), 116-149.

Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2021). 8 Pillars X 8 Layers Model of Metacognition: Educational Strategies, Exercises &Trainings. International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering, 17(8).

Hidayat, H., & Arini, F. D. (2022). Exploring Factors of the Parent-Teacher Partnership Affecting Learning Outcomes: Empirical Study in the Early Childhood Education Context. International Journal of Instruction, 15(4).

Hayes, D. (2008). Anytime playdate: Inside the preschool entertainment boom, or, how television became my baby's best friend. Simon and Schuster.

Jagers, R. J., Rivas-Drake, D., & Williams, B. (2019). Transformative social and emotional learning (SEL): Toward SEL in service of

educational equity and excellence. Educational Psychologist, 54(3), 162-184.

Kahila, S. K., Heikka, J., & Sajaniemi, N. (2020). Teacher leadership in the context of early childhood education: Concepts, characteristics and enactment. Southeast Asia Early Childhood Journal, 9(1), 28-43.

Klepsch, M., & Seufert, T. (2020). Understanding instructional design effects by differentiated measurement of intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. Instructional Science, 48(1), 45-77.

Kutsyuruba, B., Klinger, D. A., & Hussain, A. (2015). Relationships among school climate, school safety, and student achievement and well-being: a review of the literature. Review of Education, 3(2), 103-135.

Mahadew, A. (2023). Reimagining Inclusion in Early Childhood Care and Education: A Posthuman Perspective. Educational Research for Social Change, 12(1), 1-16.

Milheim, K. L. (2012). Towards a better experience: Examining student needs in the online classroom through Maslow's hierarchy of needs model. Journal of online learning and teaching, 8(2), 159.

Muhayimana, T., Kwizera, L., & Nyirahabimana, M. R. (2022). Using Bloom's taxonomy to evaluate the cognitive levels of Primary Leaving English Exam questions in Rwandan schools. Curriculum Perspectives, 42(1), 51-63.

directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25(1), 54-67.

Røset, L., Green, K., & Thurston, M. (2020). 'Even if you don't care… you do care after all': 'Othering'and physical education in Norway. European Physical Education Review, 26(3), 622-641.

Steed, E. A., Shapland, D., & Leech, N. (2022). Early childhood teachers' perceptions of the effectiveness of their elementary school's approach to social emotional learning: A mixed methods study. Early Childhood Education Journal, 50(7), 1121-1132.

Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J., & Paas, F. (2019). Cognitive architecture and instructional design: 20 years later. Educational Psychology Review, 31, 261-292.

Taimur, S., & Sattar, H. (2020). Education for sustainable development and critical thinking competency. Quality education, 238-248.

Vilchez, J. A., Kruse, J., Puffer, M., & Dudovitz, R. N. (2021). Teachers and school health leaders' perspectives on distance learning physical education during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of School Health, 91(7), 541-549.

Zhu, Y., Gao, T., Fan, L., Huang, S., Edmonds, M., Liu, H., ... & Zhu, S. C. (2020). Dark, beyond deep: A paradigm shift to cognitive ai with humanlike common sense. Engineering, 6(3), 310-345.

